UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,773	10/03/2005	Salvador Tirado Abullon		4725	
Salvador Tirado	7590 02/20/200 • Abullon	EXAMINER			
Victor De La Serna 3 5 Parking Madrid, 28016			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
SPAIN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,773	ABULLON, SALVADOR TIRADO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	/Steven O. Douglas/	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 (and 18)</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the I e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/551,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 3771

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 1, "each with and end area" (lines 4 and 5) is indefinite. Also in regard to claim 1, clear and proper antecedent basis for said "cylinders" (line 6) should be deifned.

In regard to claim 3, it is indefinite as to the structure defined by "said threaded terminals have a variable height depending on the inhaler model" (lines 2 and 3).

In regard to claim 4, "is external one located..."(line 3) and "one intermediate one" (line 4) are indefinite. Also in regard to claim 4, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "area" (line 4), the "threaded area" (line 5) and the intermediate ring" (line 9) should be defined.

In regard to claim 5, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "section" (line 2) should be defined.

In regard to claim 6, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "planes" (line 2) should be defined.

In regard to claim 7, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "interior wall" (line 2) should be defined.

In regard to claim 8, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "standard version" (line 2 and 3) and said "external ring" (line 4) should be defined.

In regard to claim 10, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "threaded area" (line 2) should be defined. Also in regard to claim 10, Applicant's use of alternate language (i.e. "or") renders the claim indefinite and should be avoided.

Art Unit: 3771

Applicant is respectfully requested to review all of the claims for other occurrences similar to those addressed above and amend the claims accordingly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(***) as being *** by ***.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korman in view of Hoffman.

The Korman reference discloses a nasal inhaler comprising two cylindrical tubes 11 (i.e. it is acknowledged that the tubes aren't perfect cylinders but they do general take the form of cylinders), a linking bridge 29 and two cylindrical terminals 20 (i.e. see acknowledgement above), wherein the terminals are externally threaded and the cylinders are internally threaded. The Korman reference fails to disclose the length of the threads as being less than 5 mm and the terminals having internal threads and the cylinders having external threads. Firstly, the Hoffman

reference discloses another inhaler cylinder having a terminal 23 and a cylinder 11 with respective internal and external threads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an internal/external thread interface as, for example, shown by Hoffman for the external/internal interface of Korman, wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type of threaded interface for another that would work equally as well. Secondly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the length of the threads be less than 5 mm (if not already), since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPO 233*.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Freezer, Crespo and Childers references pertain to other inhalers with plural tubes.

Claims 2,4,5,6 and 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,773 Page 6

Art Unit: 3771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Steven O. Douglas/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3771

SD

2/14/08